arXiv:1603.02795v1 [hep-th] 9 Mar 2016

Deriving field theories for particles of arbitrary spin with and without supersymmetry

Sudarshan Ananth

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research
Pune 411008, India

Abstract

We review the derivation of light-cone interaction vertices for fermionic and bosonic fields of arbitrary spin. The resulting amplitudes and their factorization properties are discussed. We then show how this symmetry-based approach works for theories with extended supersymmetry like $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory and $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity.

1 Introduction

At the level of the equations of motion, there has been considerable progress in our understanding of higher spin theories [1]. However, a description of higher spin fields ($\lambda > 2$) in a Lagrangian formalism, essential to quantization, remains elusive. There are various no-go theorems relating to higher spin fields and one of the things they tell us is that we cannot write down a consistent interacting theory of massless higher spin fields in flat spacetime. In contradiction to this statement is the result of [2] where a consistent cubic interaction vertex was constructed in the light-cone formalism. Given that the cubic interaction vertex is in some sense trivial and may be written down based on helicity and dimensional considerations [3] the question then becomes whether a quartic interaction vertex can be arrived at within the same formalism. The discussions in [3] outline why a BCFW [4] type construction is bound to fail but this does not rise to the level of a proof. It is worth noting, at this stage, that most of the no-go literature pertaining to higher spin theories [5] assumed theories with manifest locality and manifest Lorentz invariance. Neither of these properties is manifest in light-cone gauge and this is one motivation to study higher spin fields in this gauge. Another motivation is the exclusive focus on the physical degrees of freedom. Since the first paper on light-cone cubic interaction vertices, there has been considerable work on the subject [6, 7, 8, 9].

The procedure we review here, is that initiated in [2]. We revisited their study [9] in the light of recent advances in field theory [10]. These new methods, when introduced to the older analysis of [2] yielded among other results, a Lagrangian origin [11] for the KLT relations [12] and factorization properties [8]. The formalism in [2] starts with just the Poincaré algbera, for four dimensional flat spacetime, in light-cone gauge. Among the generators of this algebra is + component of momentum which is the light-cone Hamiltonian. An ansatz for this Hamiltonian is made based on dimensional analysis and helicity and then refined by requiring closure of the Poincaré algebra[1]. This leads to a class of higher spin cubic vertices.

This framework is particularly interesting in the context of aribtrary spin theories in non-flat spacetime backgrounds. The formalism described here may be extended to AdS_4 (for the spin=2 case, see [13]). The cubic interaction vertices are straightforward to derive in this background but the quartic interaction vertices are challenging. This approach is likely to yield key ingredients necessary to establish a Lagrangian origin to the Vasiliev program [1]. In other words, a derivation of consistent quartic interaction vertices involving higher spin fields in AdS_4 should necessitate the inclusion of a tower of higher spin fields thus providing a Lagrangian origin to the Vasiliev equations of motion.

The approach we review is particularly powerful when applied to supersymmetric theories where we have more than just the Poincaré algebra to work with. Supergravity in eleven dimensions [14], when reduced to $d = 4$, becomes the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 8$ theory. Its light-cone formulation in superspace is incomplete because its higher-point interactions are not known in terms of a light-cone superfield. A simpler, yet in many ways similar, theory in $d = 4$ is the maximally supersymmetric $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory. The $\mathcal{N} = 8$ and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theories are each described by a single light-cone superfield which captures their physical degrees of freedom. Both theories may be oxidized [15] to

[1] In light-cone gauge, Poincaré invariance needs to be checked as the formalism is not manifestly covariant.

their higher-dimensional parent theories, yielding superspace descriptions without auxiliary fields. However, while there exist two distinct algebraic methods to derive the entire classical Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{N}=4$ Yang-Mills there is considerable difficulty in deriving the $\mathcal{N}=8$ theory even to quartic order.

2 Poincaré generators

We define light-cone co-ordinates in $(-,+,+,+)$ Minkowski space-time by

$$x^{\pm} = \frac{x^0 \pm x^3}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \qquad x = \frac{x^1 + i x^2}{\sqrt{2}} \ , \qquad \bar{x} = \frac{x^1 - i x^2}{\sqrt{2}} \ . \tag{1}$$

The corresponding derivatives are $\partial_{\pm}$, $\bar{\partial}$ and ∂. One of the reasons $d=4$ is so special is that *all* massless fields have exactly two physical degrees of freedom, which we call ϕ and $\bar{\phi}$. The field ϕ has helicity λ while the field $\bar{\phi}$ has helicity $-\lambda$. The light-cone generators of the Poincaré algebra are

$$p^- = i\frac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial_-} = -p_+ \qquad p^+ = -i\partial^+ = -p_- \qquad \bar{p} = -i\bar{\partial} \ , \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} j &= i(x\bar{\partial} - \bar{x}\partial - \lambda) \ , & j^+ &= (x^+\partial - x\partial^+) \ , \\ j^{+-} &= (x^+\tfrac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} - x^-\partial^+) \ , & j^- &= (x^-\partial - x\frac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} + \lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial^+}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and their complex conjugates with $\frac{1}{\partial_-}$ defined following [16]. For the free theory, $\partial_+ = \frac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial_-}$ and the Hamiltonian reads

$$H \equiv \int d^3x\, \mathcal{H} = -\int d^3x\, \bar{\phi}\, \partial\bar{\partial}\, \phi \ , \tag{4}$$

also written as

$$H \equiv \int d^3x\, \mathcal{H} = \int d^3x\, \partial_- \bar{\phi}\, \delta_{\mathcal{H}}\phi \ , \tag{5}$$

where the time translation operator is introduced through the Poisson bracket

$$\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\phi \equiv \partial_+\phi = \{\phi, \mathcal{H}\} \ . \tag{6}$$

On the light-cone, spacetime symmetries split into two types. Kinematical symmetries are unaltered by interactions while dynamical symmetries pick up corrections and are *non-linearly* realized on the fields. In supersymmetric theories, the supersymmetries also separate into dynamical and kinematical supersymmetries. For the interacting theory, $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ picks up corrections, order by order in the coupling constant α, as do

$$\delta_{j^{+-}}\phi \ ; \quad \delta_{j^-}\phi \ ; \quad \delta_{\bar{j}^-}\phi \ . \tag{7}$$

These corrections, non-linear in nature, need to be constructed.

3 Bosonic fields

We review here, the procedure to derive cubic interaction vertices for three bosonic fields of arbitrary spin. For additional details, we refer the reader to [8]. At cubic order, the following structures (at order α) appear in the Hamiltonian

$$\delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1 \sim \phi_2\phi_3 \ ; \quad \delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_2 \sim \phi_1\phi_3 \ ; \quad \delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_3 \sim \phi_1\phi_2 \ . \tag{8}$$

The fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 have integer spins λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 respectively. In terms of the action, the first structure would correspond to

$$S \sim \int d^4x \ \bar{\phi}_1 \, \phi_2 \, \phi_3 \ + c.c. \ . \tag{9}$$

We sprinkle in derivatives to arrive at the ansatz

$$\delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1 = \alpha \, A \, \partial^{+\mu} \left[\bar{\partial}^a \partial^{+\rho} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^b \partial^{+\sigma} \phi_3 \right] \ + c.c. \ . \tag{10}$$

μ, ρ, σ, a, b are integers and A is a number. The commutators

$$\begin{aligned} &[\delta_j, \delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}] \, \phi_1 = 0 \ , \\ &\left[\delta_{j^{+-}}, \delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\right] \phi_1 = -\delta_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} a + b \ &= \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_1 \\ \mu + \rho + \sigma \ &= -1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

As $a, b > 0$, the first condition implies that the vertex exists only if $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 > \lambda_1$. Let $\lambda \equiv \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_1$ so $a + b = \lambda$. The ansatz is now a sum of the $(\lambda + 1)$ terms

$$\delta^\alpha_H \phi_1 = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} A_n \, \partial^{+\mu_n} \left[\bar{\partial}^n \partial^{+\rho_n} \phi_2 \, \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n)} \partial^{+\sigma_n} \phi_3 \right] \ + c.c. \ . \tag{13}$$

The commutators

$$\left[\delta_{\bar{j}^-}, \delta_H\right]^\alpha \phi_1 = 0 \qquad \left[\delta_{j^+}, \delta_H\right]^\alpha \phi_1 = 0 \ , \tag{14}$$

yield the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} \ A_n \Big\{ \ & (\mu_n + 1 - \lambda_1) \partial^{+(\mu_n - 1)} \bar{\partial} (\bar{\partial}^n \partial^{+\rho_n} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n)} \partial^{+\sigma_n} \phi_3) \\ & + (\rho_n + \lambda_2) \partial^{+\mu_n} (\bar{\partial}^{(n+1)} \partial^{+(\rho_n - 1)} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n)} \partial^{+\sigma_n} \phi_3) \\ & + (\sigma_n + \lambda_3) \partial^{+\mu_n} (\bar{\partial}^n \partial^{+\rho_n} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n+1)} \partial^{+(\sigma_n - 1)} \phi_3) \Big\} \ = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} \ A_n \Big\{ \ & n \, \partial^{+\mu_n} (\bar{\partial}^{(n-1)} \partial^{+(\rho_n + 1)} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n)} \partial^{+\sigma_n} \phi_3) \\ & + (\lambda - n) \partial^{+\mu_n} (\bar{\partial}^n \partial^{+\rho_n} \phi_2 \bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n-1)} \partial^{+(\sigma_n + 1)} \phi_3) \Big\} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which are satisfied when the coefficients obey

$$A_{n+1} = -\frac{(\lambda - n)}{(n+1)} A_n \;=\; (-1)^{(n+1)} \binom{\lambda}{n+1} A_0 \;,$$
$$\rho_{n+1} = \rho_n - 1 \quad ; \quad \sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n + 1 \quad ; \quad \mu_{n+1} = \mu_n \;, \tag{17}$$

with the conditions

$$\rho_{\,n=\lambda} = -\lambda_2 \qquad \sigma_{\,n=0} = -\lambda_3. \tag{18}$$

We find

$$\rho_n = \lambda - \lambda_2 - n \;; \qquad \sigma_n = n - \lambda_3 \;; \qquad \mu_n = \lambda_1 - 1 \;. \tag{19}$$

Thus (13) becomes

$$\delta^\alpha_H \phi_1 = \alpha \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^n \binom{\lambda}{n} \partial^{+(\lambda_1 - 1)} \left[\bar\partial^n \partial^{+(\lambda - \lambda_2 - n)} \phi_2 \, \bar\partial^{(\lambda - n)} \partial^{+(n - \lambda_3)} \phi_3 \right] + c.c. \;. \tag{20}$$

Using

$$H = \int d^3x \; \partial_- \bar\phi_1 \, \delta_{\mathcal{H}} \phi_1, \tag{21}$$

the interacting Hamiltonian is

$$H^\alpha = \alpha \int d^3x \; \sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} (-1)^n \binom{\lambda}{n} \bar\phi_1 \, \partial^{+\lambda_1} \left[\bar\partial^n \partial^{+(\lambda - \lambda_2 - n)} \phi_2 \, \bar\partial^{(\lambda - n)} \partial^{+(n - \lambda_3)} \phi_3 \right] + c.c. \;. \tag{22}$$

The power of this approach is clear. One example: H^α vanishes for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \lambda'$ in (22) for odd λ' making the introduction of a gauge group mandatory for odd integer spins.

3.1 Factorization and perturbative ties

The above results may be written in the language of spinor helicity products [10]

$$< kl > = \sqrt{2} \, \frac{(kl_- - lk_-)}{\sqrt{k_- l_-}} \qquad [kl] = \sqrt{2} \, \frac{(\bar k l_- - \bar l k_-)}{\sqrt{k_- l_-}} \;. \tag{23}$$

Equation (22) contains two kinds of terms: $\bar\phi\phi\phi$ and $\phi\bar\phi\bar\phi$ and the Fourier coefficient of the second variety $\phi_1(p)\bar\phi_2(k)\bar\phi_3(l) \, \delta^4(p+k+l)$ is

$$\frac{p_-^{\lambda_1}}{k_-^{\lambda_2} l_-^{\lambda_3}} (lk_- - l_- k)^{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 - \lambda_1}, \tag{24}$$

which simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^\lambda}} \;\; < pk >^{(-\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3)} \; < kl >^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \; < lp >^{(-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)} \;. \tag{25}$$

This means that given vertices for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ and $(\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3')$, their product yields the vertex for $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_1', \lambda_2 + \lambda_2', \lambda_3 + \lambda_3')$. Further, the coefficient for $(n\lambda_1, n\lambda_2, n\lambda_3)$ is that for $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ raised to the power n [8]. In particular, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 2$ offers a field-theoretic realization [11] of the KLT relations [12].

4 Fermionic fields

We now introduce fermions into this formalism so we can construct interactions involving matter. The 4×4 Gamma matrices are

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^i \\ -\sigma^i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} , \tag{26}$$

and we define

$$P_+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}\gamma_+\gamma_- \tag{27}$$

$$P_- \equiv \frac{1}{2}\gamma_-\gamma_+ , \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\gamma_0 + \gamma_3\right) \\ \gamma_- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\gamma_0 - \gamma_3\right) . \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

To a Dirac spinor with Grassmann valued components

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1 \\ \chi_2 \\ \chi_3 \\ \chi_4 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{30}$$

we apply the Majorana condition $\Psi = C\bar{\Psi}^T$ to find

$$\chi_1 = \bar{\chi}_4 \qquad ; \qquad \chi_2 = -\bar{\chi}_3 . \tag{31}$$

We introduce

$$\Psi_+ = P_+\Psi \quad ; \quad \Psi_- = P_-\Psi , \tag{32}$$

which satisfy

$$\partial_-\Psi_- = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_-\gamma_i\partial_i\Psi_+ \qquad\qquad i = 1, 2 , \tag{33}$$

$$\partial_+\Psi_+ = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_+\gamma_i\partial_i\Psi_- \qquad\qquad i = 1, 2 . \tag{34}$$

The first (kinematic) relation yields

$$\chi_1 = \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial_-}\chi_2 . \tag{35}$$

The entire spinor, in terms of $\chi_3 \equiv \chi$, is

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\bar\partial}{\partial_-}\bar\chi \\ -\bar\chi \\ \chi \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial_-}\chi \end{pmatrix} . \tag{36}$$

The free light-cone action for a fermionic field of half-integer spin λ is

$$S = \int d^4x \;\; i\bar\psi \, \frac{\Box}{\partial_-} \, \psi. \tag{37}$$

The Poincaré generators are

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j \;\; \psi &= i(x\bar\partial - \bar x\partial + \lambda)\psi \; , & \delta_{j^+}\psi &= (x^+\partial - x\partial^+)\psi \; , \\ \delta_{j^{+-}}\psi \;\; &= (x^+\tfrac{\partial\bar\partial}{\partial^+} - x^-\partial^+ - \tfrac{1}{2})\psi \; , & \delta_{j^-}\psi &= (x^-\partial - x\frac{\partial\bar\partial}{\partial^+} + (\lambda+\frac{1}{2})\frac{\partial}{\partial^+})\psi \; , \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

and their conjugates. Unlike the bosonic case, $\bar\psi$ has helicity λ, a positive half integer.

4.1 Interacting arbitrary spin fields

The free Hamiltonian involving a massless spin λ boson and a massless spin λ' fermion is

$$H = \int d^3x \;\; \left(-\bar\phi \, \partial\bar\partial \, \phi \;\; + i\bar\psi \, \frac{\partial\bar\partial}{\partial_-} \, \psi \right) = \int d^3x \;\; \left(\partial_- \bar\phi \, \delta_{\mathcal{H}} \phi + i\bar\psi \, \delta_{\mathcal{H}} \, \psi \right) \; . \tag{39}$$

We start with an ansatz for the interactions from $\delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1$ having the structure $\bar\phi_1\psi_2\psi_3$ where the fields ϕ_1, ψ_2 and ψ_3 carry spins λ_1 (integer), λ_2 and λ_3 (both half integers) respectively. For a detailed description of the following, we refer the reader to [17]. The ansatz reads

$$\delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1 = \alpha \, A \, \partial^{+\mu} \left[\partial^a \partial^{+\sigma} \psi_2 \partial^b \partial^{+\rho} \psi_3 \right] \; . \tag{40}$$

Again, μ, ρ, σ, a, b are integers and A is a number. To ensure Lorentz invariance, we demand closure of the Poincaré algebra to this order in α. The kinematical constraints

$$[\delta_j, \delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}] \, \phi_1 = 0 \qquad \left[\delta_{j^{+-}}, \delta_{\mathcal{H}}\right]^\alpha \phi_1 = -\delta^\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}\phi_1 \; ,$$

impose the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} a + b \;\; &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \equiv \lambda \\ \mu + \rho + \sigma \;\; &= -2 \; . \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

While

$$\left[\delta^-_j, \delta_H\right]^\alpha \phi_1 = 0 \qquad \left[\delta_{\bar\jmath^+}, \delta_H\right]^\alpha \phi_1 = 0 \, , \tag{42}$$

require that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} A_n \Big\{ -(\mu_n+1+\lambda_1)\partial^{+(\mu_n-1)}\partial(\partial^n\partial^{+\rho_n}\psi_2\partial^{(\lambda-n)}\partial^{+\sigma_n}\psi_3)$$
$$-(\sigma_n+\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2})\partial^{+\mu_n}(\partial^{(n+1)}\partial^{+(\sigma_n-1)}\psi_2\partial^{(\lambda-n)}\partial^{+\rho_n}\psi_3) \tag{43}$$
$$-(\rho_n+\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2})\partial^{+\mu_n}(\partial^n\partial^{+\sigma_n}\psi_2\partial^{(\lambda-n+1)}\partial^{+(\rho_n-1)}\psi_3)\Big\} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda} A_n\Big\{ n\,\partial^{+\mu_n}(\partial^{(n-1)}\partial^{+(\sigma_n+1)}\psi_2\partial^{(\lambda-n)}\partial^{+\rho_n}\psi_3)$$
$$+(\lambda-n)\partial^{+\mu_n}(\partial^n\partial^{+\sigma_n}\psi_2\bar{\partial}^{(\lambda-n-1)}\partial^{+(\rho_n+1)}\psi_3)\Big\} = 0 \ . \tag{44}$$

We find

$$A_n = (-1)^{(n)}\binom{\lambda}{n}; \qquad \sigma_n = -\lambda-\lambda_2+n-\frac{1}{2}\ ; \qquad \rho_n = n-(\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2})\ ; \qquad \mu_n = -(\lambda_1+1)\ ,$$

so (40) becomes

$$\delta_H^\alpha\phi_1 = \alpha\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda}(-1)^n\binom{\lambda}{n}\frac{1}{\partial^{+(\lambda_1+1)}}\left[\partial^n\frac{\partial^{+(\lambda-n)}}{\partial^{+(\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2})}}\psi_2\,\partial^{(\lambda-n)}\frac{\partial^{+n}}{\partial^{+(\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2})}}\psi_3\right] . \tag{45}$$

From which we obtain the Hamiltonian and thus the action

$$S = \int d^4x\ \Big[\frac{1}{2}\bar{\phi}_1\Box\phi_2 + i\bar{\psi}_2\frac{\Box}{\partial_-}\psi_2 + i\bar{\psi}_3\frac{\Box}{\partial_-}\psi_3 \tag{46}$$
$$+\alpha\bar{\phi}_1\sum_{n=0}^{\lambda}(-1)^n\binom{\lambda}{n}\frac{1}{\partial^{+\lambda_1}}\left[\partial^n\frac{\partial^{+(\lambda-n)}}{\partial^{+(\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2})}}\psi_2\,\partial^{(\lambda-n)}\frac{\partial^{+n}}{\partial^{+(\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2})}}\psi_3\right]\Big] \ .$$

Once again, the algebra teaches us that for odd helicity ϕ_1, self-interactions require an internal symmetry group with an antisymmetric structure constant. This permits two varieties of vertices: $t_a\bar{\phi}_1^a\psi_2^b\psi_{3b}$ and $\bar{\phi}_1^a\psi_2^b\psi_3^c f_{abc}$. As an example, consider $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3) = (1,\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2})$. With an internal SU(3) symmetry, the first variety represents the coupling of gluons to quarks in QCD while the second variety corresponds to the cubic coupling in $\mathcal{N}=4$ Yang-Mills [18].

4.2 Scattering amplitudes

Rewrite (46) in momentum space using spinor helicity

$$\alpha\int\frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p+k+l)\frac{(k_-l-l_-k)^\lambda}{p_-^{\lambda_1}k_-^{\lambda_2+\frac{1}{2}}l_-^{\lambda_3+\frac{1}{2}}}\tilde{\bar{\phi}}_1(p)\tilde{\psi}_2(k)\tilde{\psi}_3(l)\ , \tag{47}$$

The corresponding amplitude is

$$< pk >^{(-\lambda_1+\lambda_2-\lambda_3)} \; < kl >^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3)} \; < lp >^{(-\lambda_1-\lambda_2+\lambda_3)} \; , \tag{48}$$

of the same form as the three-boson case [8] and consistent with [3, 6, 10, 7].

5 Theories with supersymmetry: $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills theory

In this section we discuss this formalism in the context of maximally supersymmetric field theories [2]. The ten-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supermultiplet has eight vectors and eight spinors of the little group $SO(8)$. Reduction to four dimensions involves

$$SO(8) \supset \; SO(2) \; \times \; SO(6) \; , \tag{49}$$

yielding

$$\mathbf{8}_v \; = \; \mathbf{6}_0 + \mathbf{1}_1 + \mathbf{1}_{-1} \; , \qquad \mathbf{8}_s \; = \; \mathbf{4}_{1/2} + \overline{\mathbf{4}}_{-1/2} \; , \tag{50}$$

with $SO(2)$ subscripts. The $(\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 4)$ theory contains six scalar fields, one vector field and four spinor fields (and conjugates). Introduce Grassmann variables θ^m and $\bar{\theta}_m$ $(m, n, \cdots = 1 \, , \ldots \, 4)$ which transform as the $\mathbf{4}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ of $SU(4)$. Their derivatives are

$$\bar{\partial}_m \; \equiv \; \frac{\partial}{\partial \, \theta^m} \; ; \qquad \partial^m \; \equiv \; \frac{\partial}{\partial \, \bar{\theta}_m} \; . \tag{51}$$

The physical degrees of freedom of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills are captured in one superfield [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \, (y) \; = \; & \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, A \, (y) \; + \; \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \, \theta^m \, \theta^n \, \overline{C}_{mn} \, (y) \; + \; \frac{1}{12} \, \theta^m \, \theta^n \, \theta^p \, \theta^q \, \epsilon_{mnpq} \, \partial^+ \, \bar{A} \, (y) \\ & + \; \frac{i}{\partial^+} \, \theta^m \, \bar{\chi}_m(y) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{6} \theta^m \, \theta^n \, \theta^p \, \epsilon_{mnpq} \, \chi^q(y) \; , \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where the superfield ϕ is not to be confused with the bosonic field, also denoted ϕ, used in the sections $2 - 4$. The original eight gauge fields become

$$A \; = \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, (A_1 + i \, A_2) \; , \qquad \bar{A} \; = \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, (A_1 - i \, A_2) \; , \tag{53}$$

and the scalars, written as $SU(4)$ bi-spinors

$$C^{m \, 4} \; = \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, (A_{m+3} \; + \; i \, A_{m+6}) \; , \qquad \overline{C}_{m \, 4} \; = \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, (A_{m+3} \; - \; i \, A_{m+6}) \; , \tag{54}$$

for $m \; \neq \; 4$. These satisfy

$$\overline{C}_{mn} \; = \; \frac{1}{2} \, \epsilon_{mnpq} \, C^{pq} \; . \tag{55}$$

The fermion fields are χ^m and $\bar{\chi}_m$. All fields carry gauge indices and are local in

$$y \; = \; (\, x, \, \bar{x}, \, x^+, \, y^- \equiv x^- - \; \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \, \theta^m \, \bar{\theta}_m \,) \; . \tag{56}$$

The chiral derivatives are

$$d^m = -\partial^m \, - \, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \, \theta^m \, \partial^+ \; ; \qquad \bar{d}_n = \quad \bar{\partial}_n \, + \, \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \, \bar{\theta}_n \, \partial^+ \; . \tag{57}$$

The superfield satisfies both the chiral constraint

$$d^m \, \phi \, = \, 0 \; , \tag{58}$$

and the inside-out relation

$$\bar{d}_m \, \bar{d}_n \, \phi \; = \; \frac{1}{2} \, \epsilon_{mnpq} \, d^p \, d^q \, \bar{\phi} \; , \tag{59}$$

The action for $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills is

$$\int d^4x \int d^4\theta \, d^4\bar{\theta} \, \mathcal{L} \; , \tag{60}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} \;\; = \;\; & -\bar{\phi} \, \frac{\Box}{\partial^{+2}} \, \phi \; + \frac{4g}{3} \, f^{abc} \Big(\frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \bar{\phi}^a \, \phi^b \, \bar{\partial} \, \phi^c + \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \bar{\phi}^b \, \partial \, \bar{\phi}^c \Big) \\ & -g^2 f^{abc} \, f^{ade} \Big(\, \frac{1}{\partial^+} (\phi^b \, \partial^+ \phi^c) \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, (\bar{\phi}^d \, \partial^+ \, \bar{\phi}^e) + \frac{1}{2} \, \phi^b \bar{\phi}^c \, \phi^d \, \bar{\phi}^e \Big) \; . \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

5.1 Generators

The Lorentz generators, introduced earlier, now accommodate the superspace variables

$$j \; = \; x \, \bar{\partial} - \bar{x} \, \partial + \frac{1}{2} \, (\, \theta^p \, \bar{\partial}_p \, - \, \bar{\theta}_p \, \partial^p \,) \, - \lambda \; , \tag{62}$$

with

$$\lambda \; = \; \frac{i}{4\sqrt{2} \, \partial^+} \, (\, d^p \, \bar{d}_p - \bar{d}_p \, d^p \,) \; . \tag{63}$$

$\lambda = +1$ for a chiral superfield. On a chiral superfield, we have

$$\delta \, \phi \; = \; i \, \omega \, j \, \phi \; , \qquad \delta \, \bar{\phi} \; = \; - \, i \, \omega \, j \, \bar{\phi} \; . \tag{64}$$

The other kinematical generators read

$$j^+ \; = \; i \, x \, \partial^+ \; , \qquad \bar{j}^+ \; = \; i \, \bar{x} \, \partial^+ \; , \qquad j^{+-} \; = \; i \, x^- \, \partial^+ - \frac{i}{2} \, (\, \theta^p \bar{\partial}_p + \bar{\theta}_p \, \partial^p \,) + i \; . \tag{65}$$

The boosts now read

$$\begin{aligned} j^- \;\; &= \;\; i \, x \, \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \; - \; i \, x^- \, \partial \; + \; i \, \Big(\theta^p \bar{\partial}_p \, - \lambda - 1 \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial^+} \; , \\ \bar{j}^- \;\; &= \;\; i \, \bar{x} \, \frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \; - \; i \, x^- \, \bar{\partial} \; + \; i \, \Big(\bar{\theta}_p \partial^p + \lambda - 1 \Big) \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \; . \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

For further details, we refer the reader to [19]. Half of the supersymmetry generators

$$q_+^m = -\partial^m + \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\,\theta^m\,\partial^+ \;; \qquad \bar{q}_{+n} = \quad \bar{\partial}_n - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\,\bar{\theta}_n\,\partial^+ \;, \tag{67}$$

are kinematical while the others are dynamical

$$q_-^m \;\equiv\; i\,[\,\bar{j}^-\,,\,q_+^m\,] \;=\; \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+}\,q_+^m\;, \qquad \bar{q}_{-m} \;\equiv\; i\,[\,j^-\,,\,\bar{q}_{+m}\,] \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial^+}\,\bar{q}_{+m}\;. \tag{68}$$

These are "square-roots" of the Hamiltonian

$$\{\,q_-^m\,,\,\bar{q}_{-n}\,\} \;=\; i\sqrt{2}\,\delta^{\,m}_{\,n}\,\frac{\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+}\;. \tag{69}$$

5.2 Superconformal algebra

The $\mathcal{N}=4$ Yang-Mills theory has a much larger symmstery group than just the usual Poincaré symmetry. To build this group: $PSU(2,2|\,4)$, we start with the "plus" conformal generator [19]

$$K^+ \;=\; 2i\,x\,\bar{x}\,\partial^+\;, \tag{70}$$

which along with j^{+-} yields

$$[\,K^+,\,p^-\,] = -2i\,D + 2i\,j^{+-}\;, \tag{71}$$

the dilatation generator

$$D \;=\; i\left(\,x^-\partial^+ - \,x\bar{\partial}\,-\,\bar{x}\partial - \frac{1}{2}\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\,-\,\frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}}\,\right)\;. \tag{72}$$

Boosting K^+ results in

$$K \;=\; i\,[\,j^-,K^+\,] = 2ix\left(x^-\partial^+ - x\bar{\partial} - \theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\,\theta} + \lambda\right), \tag{73}$$

$$\bar{K} \;=\; i\,[\,\bar{j}^-,K^+\,] = 2i\bar{x}\left(x^-\partial^+ - \bar{x}\partial - \bar{\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}} - \lambda\right)\;. \tag{74}$$

The supersymmetry generators now include conformal supersymmetries obtained from

$$[\,K^+\,,\,q_-^m\,] \;=\; -\sqrt{2}\,(\,i\sqrt{2}\,\bar{x}\,q_+^m\,) \;=\; -\sqrt{2}\,s_+^m\;, \tag{75}$$

and their conjugates, both kinematical. The dynamical conformal supersymmetries read

$$s_-^m \;=\; i\,[\,j^-\,,\,s_+^m\,] = i\sqrt{2}\,\left(\,x^-\,\partial^+\,-\,x\,\bar{\partial}\,-\,\theta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\,\theta}\,+\lambda+1\right)\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,q_+^m\;, \tag{76}$$

and their conjugates. The dynamical conformal generator K^- is

$$K^- \;=\; i\,[\,\bar{j}^-\,,\,K\,]\;. \tag{77}$$

5.3 Deriving the theory

As before, we begin with an ansatze for the order g Hamiltonian (we use g instead of α for the coupling constant in supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory). For additional details, we refer the reader to [2, 19].

$$\delta^g_{p^-}\,\phi \;=\; -\,i\,g\,\partial^{+\mu}\,[\,\bar\partial^a\,\partial^{+\rho}\,\phi\,\bar\partial^b\,\partial^{+\sigma}\,\phi\,]\;. \tag{78}$$

Exactly like in the non-supersymmetric case, closure of the algebra to order g yields

$$a\,+\,b\,=\,1\;,\qquad \mu\,+\,\rho\,+\,\sigma\,=\,0\;. \tag{79}$$

The need for a gauge structure function follows as before. The variation that satisfies Poincaré invariance to order g is then

$$\delta^g_{p^-}\,\phi^a \;=\; -\,i\,g\,f^{abc}\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,(\,\bar\partial\,\phi^b\,\partial^+\,\phi^c)\;. \tag{80}$$

In a similar manner, the non-linear boosts are [19]

$$\delta^g_{j^-}\,\phi^a \;=\; -\,x\,\delta^g_{p^-}\,\phi^a\,+i\,g\,f^{abc}\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,\left\{\,(\,\theta\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\,\theta}\,-\,1\,)\,\phi^b\,\partial^+\,\phi^c\,)\right\}\;. \tag{81}$$

One approach to deriving the Hamiltonian for this theory is to use chirality, dimensional analysis, helicity and elementary commutators to argue that the first order dynamical supersymmetry has the form

$$\delta^g_{\bar q_-}\,\phi^a \;=\; -\,g\,f^{abc}\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+\,(2\nu+1)}}\,\left\{\,\bar d\,\partial^{+\,\nu}\,\phi^b\,\partial^{+\,(\nu+1)}\,\phi^c\right\}\;, \tag{82}$$

where $(d)^4 \equiv \epsilon_{mnpq}\,d^m d^n d^p d^q$ and ν is a free parameter. Its conjugate with (59) yields

$$\delta^g_{q_-}\,\phi^a \;=\; -\,g\,f^{abc}\,\frac{(d)^4}{48\,\partial^{+\,(2\nu+3)}}\,\left\{\,d\,\partial^{+\,\nu}\,\bar\phi^b\,\partial^{+\,(\nu+1)}\,\bar\phi^c\right\}\;, \tag{83}$$

Evaluate

$$\{\,\delta_{q^m_-}\,,\,\delta_{\bar q_-\,n}\,\}^g\,\phi^a \;=\; -\,\sqrt{2}\,\delta^m_n\,\delta^g_{p^-}\,\phi^a\;, \tag{84}$$

to first order in g to obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{p^-}\,\phi^a \;=\; & -\,i\,\frac{\partial\bar\partial}{\partial^+}\,\phi^a\,-\,i\,g\,f^{abc}\,\left\{\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+\,(2\nu+1)}}\,(\,\bar\partial\,\partial^{+\,(\nu)}\phi^b\,\partial^{+\,(\nu+1)}\phi^c\,)\right.\\ & \left.+\,\frac{(d)^4}{48\,\partial^{+(2\nu+3)}}\,(\,\partial\,\partial^{+\,(\nu)}\,\bar\phi^b\,\partial^{+\,(\nu+1)}\,\bar\phi^c\,)\right\}+\mathcal{O}(g^2)\;.\end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

The dynamical supersymmetry does not extend to g^2 [19] and as a consequence, the classical Hamiltonian terminates at order g^2. Rather than extend the procedure here to order g^2, which is lengthy, we adopt a different approach to arrive at the quartic interaction vertex.

We simply ask that the supersymmetry variations leave the Hamiltonian invariant [19]

$$\delta_{\bar q_-}H \;=\; 0\;. \tag{86}$$

This yields the three conditions

$$\delta^0_{\bar{q}_-} H^0 \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{87}$$

$$\delta^g_{\bar{q}_-} H^0 + \delta^0_{\bar{q}_-} H^g \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{88}$$

$$\delta^g_{\bar{q}_-} H^g + \delta^0_{\bar{q}_-} H^{g^2} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{89}$$

and hence a systematic link to H^g and H^{g^2} from $\delta_{\bar{q}_-}$ and H^0. The second condition gives

$$\delta^g_{\bar{q}_-} H^0 \;=\; \delta^g_{q^-} \left\{ \int \overline{\phi}^a \, \frac{2\,\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^{+2}} \, \phi^a \right\} , \tag{90}$$

implying that

$$\delta^g_{q^-} \left\{ \int \overline{\phi}^a \, \frac{2\,\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^{+2}} \, \phi^a \right\} = 2\,g\,f^{abc} \int \overline{\phi}^b \, d\,\overline{\phi}^c \, \frac{\partial\,\bar{\partial}}{\partial^{+2}} \, \phi^a \;. \tag{91}$$

so

$$\delta^0_{\bar{q}_-} H^g \;=\; -\,2\,g \int f^{abc}\,\overline{\phi}^b \, d\,\overline{\phi}^c \, \frac{\partial\,\bar{\partial}}{\partial^{+2}} \, \phi^a \;. \tag{92}$$

Now consider

$$\delta^0_{q^-} \left\{ g\,f^{abc} \int \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \overline{\phi}^b \, \partial\,\overline{\phi}^c \right\} , \tag{93}$$

which yields two terms [19] which after some manipulations yield

$$g\,f^{abc} \int \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \, d\,\overline{\phi}^b \, \partial\,\overline{\phi}^c \;=\; \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g\,f^{abc} \int \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \overline{\phi}^b \, \frac{\partial\,\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \, d\,\overline{\phi}^c \right\} . \tag{94}$$

Hence the variation

$$\delta^0_{q^-} \left\{ g\,f^{abc} \int \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \overline{\phi}^b \, \partial\,\overline{\phi}^c \right\} = \frac{3}{2}\,g\,f^{abc} \int \frac{1}{\partial^+} \, \phi^a \, \overline{\phi}^b \, \frac{\partial\,\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+} \, d\,\overline{\phi}^c \;, \tag{95}$$

leads to the previously derived cubic vertex in (85). Next, vary the cubic vertex to obtain the quartic vertex using (89). The cubic vertex involves a part with the transverse derivative ∂ and a part with $\bar{\partial}$. But H^{g^2} does not contain transverse derivatives since it stems from supersymmetries (at order g) which do not carry transverse derivatives. Thus

$$\delta^g_{q_-} H^g_{\partial} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{96}$$

since $\delta^0_{q_-}$ contains no ∂. For supersymmetries to commute with the Hamiltonian then

$$[\,\delta^0_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^{g^2}_{p^-}\,] + [\,\delta^g_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^g_{p^-}\,] \;=\; 0 \;. \tag{97}$$

The Hamiltonian contains both ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ while $\delta^0_{q^-}$ has only $\bar{\partial}$. Thus one requirement is

$$[\,\delta^g_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^g_{p^-}\,]\Big|_{\partial} \;=\; 0 \;, \tag{98}$$

which holds as long as the structure functions are antisymmetric and obey the Jacobi identity [19]. The other requirement

$$[\,\delta^0_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^{g^2}_{p^-}\,]\,+\,[\,\delta^g_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^g_{p^-}\,]\Big|_{\bar\partial}\;=\;0\;, \tag{99}$$

leads to H^{g^2}

$$H^{g^2}\;=\;i\int\frac{1}{\partial^+}\bar\phi^a\,\delta^{g^2}_{p^-}\phi^a\;=\;-\,\frac{i}{4\sqrt{2}}\int\frac{1}{\partial^+}\bar\phi^a\,\{\,\delta^g_{q^-}\,,\,\delta^g_{\bar q^-}\,\}\,\phi^a\;. \tag{100}$$

The detailed form of the Hamiltonian is presented in [19] and is not relevant here since our aim is to describe the method. Interestingly, this Hamiltonian has the structure of a quadratic form [19].

6 Theories with supersymmetry: $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity

Having described $\mathcal{N}=4$ Yang-Mills, we now move to the other maximally supersymmetric theory in four dimensions, $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity [20]. All the fields in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity are similarly captured by a single superfield [18]. θ^m now transforms as the 8 of $SU(8)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\,(\,y\,)\,=&\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\,h\,(y)\;+\;i\,\theta^m\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\,\bar\psi_m\,(y)\;+\;\frac{i}{2}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,\bar A_{mn}\,(y)\;,\\&-\;\frac{1}{3!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,\bar\chi_{mnp}\,(y)\;-\;\frac{1}{4!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\theta^q\,\bar C_{mnpq}\,(y)\;,\\&+\;\frac{i}{5!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\theta^q\,\theta^r\,\epsilon_{mnpqrstu}\,\chi^{stu}\,(y)\;,\\&+\;\frac{i}{6!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\theta^q\,\theta^r\,\theta^s\,\epsilon_{mnpqrstu}\,\partial^+\,A^{tu}\,(y)\;,\\&+\;\frac{1}{7!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\theta^q\,\theta^r\,\theta^s\,\theta^t\,\epsilon_{mnpqrstu}\,\partial^+\,\psi^u\,(y)\;,\\&+\;\frac{4}{8!}\,\theta^m\,\theta^n\,\theta^p\,\theta^q\,\theta^r\,\theta^s\,\theta^t\,\theta^u\,\epsilon_{mnpqrstu}\,\partial^{+2}\,\bar h\,(y)\;,\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

where the two-component graviton is

$$h\;=\;\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\,h_{11}\;+\;i\,h_{12}\,)\;;\qquad\bar h\;=\;\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,(\,h_{11}\;-\;i\,h_{12}\,)\;. \tag{102}$$

$\bar\psi_m$ are the spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ gravitinos, $\bar A_{mn}$ the 28 gauge fields and $\bar\chi_{mnp}$, the gauginos. $\bar C_{mnpq}$ represents the 70 scalar fields. Apart from the chiral condition, we have

$$\phi\;=\;\frac{1}{4}\,\frac{(d\,)^8}{\partial^{+4}}\,\bar\phi\;, \tag{103}$$

the inside-out relation, with $(d\,)^8\;=\;d^1\,d^2\,\ldots\,d^8$. The action to first order in the gravitational coupling constant κ reads

$$\beta\int\,d^4x\int d^8\theta\,d^8\bar\theta\,\mathcal{L}\;, \tag{104}$$

where $\beta = -\frac{1}{64}$ and

$$\mathcal{L} \;=\; -\bar{\phi}\,\frac{\Box}{\partial^{+4}}\,\phi \,-\, 2\,\kappa\,(\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\,\overline{\phi}\;\;\bar{\partial}\,\phi\,\bar{\partial}\,\phi + \;\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\,\phi\,\partial\,\overline{\phi}\,\partial\,\overline{\phi})\;, \tag{105}$$

first derived in [2] and subsequently simplified in [15].

It is technically very challenging to extend this derivation to order κ^2 for supergravity. For this reason, we are forced to consider other approaches. As mentioned earlier, the Hamiltonian of =4 Yang-Mills is a quadratic form [19] and this turns out to be a feature shared by all maximally supersymmetric theories and hence valid for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [21].

Using $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang-Mills as a guide, the light-cone Hamiltonian for $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity is of the form

$$\mathcal{H} \;=\; \frac{1}{4\,\sqrt{2}}\,(\,\mathcal{W}_m\,,\,\mathcal{W}_m\,)\;, \tag{106}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}_m \;=\; \bar{q}_{-\,m}\,\phi\;, \tag{107}$$

and the product is

$$(\,\phi\,,\,\xi\,) \;\equiv\; 2i\int d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\,\bar{\theta}\;\bar{\phi}\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+3}}\xi\;. \tag{108}$$

At lowest order

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}^0 \;&=\; \frac{1}{4\,\sqrt{2}}\,(\,\mathcal{W}^0_m\,,\,\mathcal{W}^0_m\,)\;,\\ &=\; \frac{2i}{4\,\sqrt{2}}\int d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\,\bar{\theta}\;\;q^{\,m}_-\,\bar{\phi}\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+3}}\,\bar{q}_{-\,m}\,\phi\;,\end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

which can be simplified using (103) to

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \;=\; \frac{i}{4\,\sqrt{2}}\int d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\,\bar{\theta}\;\;\Big(\,q^{\,m}_-\,\bar{\phi}\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+3}}\,\bar{q}_{-\,m}\,\phi\;+\;\frac{1}{\partial^{+4}}\,q^{\,m}_-\,\phi\,\partial^+\,\bar{q}_{-\,m}\,\bar{\phi}\Big)\;. \tag{110}$$

Putting in the expressions for the supersymmetries we obtain [21]

$$\mathcal{H}^0 \;=\; \int d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\bar{\theta}\,\bar{\phi}\,\frac{2\,\partial\bar{\partial}}{\partial^{+4}}\,\phi\;, \tag{111}$$

the appropriate kinetic term in the superspace Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity [2]. Moving to order κ, where the dynamical supersymmetry generators are known [2] we have

$$\mathcal{W}_m \;=\; \frac{\partial}{\partial^+}\bar{q}_{+\,m}\,\phi \;+\; \kappa\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,\Big(\,\bar{\partial}\,\bar{d}_m\,\phi\,\partial^{+\,2}\,\phi \;-\; \partial^+\,\bar{d}_m\,\phi\,\partial^+\,\bar{\partial}\,\phi\Big) \;+\; \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2)\;, \tag{112}$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}^m \;=\; \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial^+}\,q^{\,m}_+\,\bar{\phi} \;+\; \kappa\,\frac{1}{\partial^+}\,\Big(\,\partial\,d^m\,\bar{\phi}\,\partial^{+\,2}\,\bar{\phi} \;-\; \partial^+\,d^m\,\bar{\phi}\,\partial^+\,\partial\,\bar{\phi}\Big) \;+\; \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2)\;. \tag{113}$$

which when put into

$$\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\,(\,\mathcal{W}\,,\,\mathcal{W}\,)\;=\;\frac{2\,i}{4\sqrt{2}}\int d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\,\bar{\theta}\,\overline{\mathcal{W}}\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+3}}\,\mathcal{W}\;. \tag{114}$$

yield [21]

$$\int\;d^4x\,d^8\theta\,d^8\bar{\theta}\;\;2\,\kappa\,\Big(\,\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\;\overline{\phi}\;\;\bar{\partial}\,\phi\;\bar{\partial}\,\phi+\;\frac{1}{\partial^{+2}}\;\phi\,\partial\,\overline{\phi}\,\partial\,\overline{\phi}\Big)\;, \tag{115}$$

which is the cubic interaction vertex - and matches that derived by gauge fixing the covariant theory in (105).

This quadratic form structure leads us naturally to a quartic interaction vertex [21] but the result is too cumbersome to be useful in calculations. There is a more recent coherent state strategy that seems to make more sense but again its use in explicit computations is unclear at this point. The way forward here appears to be through the exceptional symmetry in the theory. In particular, using the $E_{7(7)}$ symmetry in $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity in conjunction with the quadratic form approach yields a much simpler quartic interaction vertex [22].

As far as $\mathcal{N}=8$ supergravity is concerned, it seems fairly clear that there are two distinct approaches to building the theory. The first is to use the superPoincaré algebra exclusively while the other is to follow the exceptional symmetry in the theory [23]. Clearly a field redefinition should relate the results of these two approaches.

It is also interesting to note that this valuable exceptional symmetry grows under dimensional reduction [24]. In $d=3$ it becomes an $E_{8(8)}$ symmetry, an E_9 symmetry in $d=2$, an E_{10} symmetry in $d=1$ and an E_{11} symmetry in $d=0$. It seems possible that some or all of these higher symmetry groups could be present in the eleven-dimensional theory itself. Their link to clarifying the finiteness issue [25] relating to the $\mathcal{N}=8$ model is certainly worth examining further.

* * *

There are a number of open questions worth exploring. First, can this light-cone symmetry-based approach provide a Lagrangian origin to the Vasiliev equations of motion in AdS_4? Second, can we derive consistent quartic interaction vertices in flat spacetime? If not, where exactly does the procedure fail and how does this fit in with the exisiting no-go literature pertaining to higher spins in flat spacetime. Third, can this approach offer hints regarding the missing ingredients for the $(\mathcal{N}=2,0)$ theory in $d=6$? In particular, since we know the six-dimensional supercofrmal algebra in light-cone gauge can we close commutators and zero in on what structures are missing [26]?

Acknowledgments

I thank the organizers of the Higher Spin Gauge Theories workshop at NTU and the Institute of Advanced Studies, NTU for the hospitality. I am grateful to Y. S. Akshay, Lars Brink, Mahendra Mali, Sucheta Majumdar, Pierre Ramond and Hidehiko Shimada for discussions.

References

[1] E. S. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev *Phys. Lett.* **B189** (1987) 89.
E. S. Fradkin and M. A. Vasiliev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 291** (1987), 141.
M. A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett.* **B 243**, 378 (1990).
M. A. Vasiliev, *Phys. Lett.* **B 285**, 225 (1992).
M. A. Vasiliev, arXiv:hep-th/0104246.

[2] A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 227** (1983) 31.
A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and L. Brink, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 227** (1983) 41.
A. K. H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson and N. Linden, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **4**, 1333 (1987).

[3] P. Benincasa and F. Cachazo, arXiv:0705.4305.
M. Porrati, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 065016.

[4] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** 181602 (2005).

[5] S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev* **138** (1965) B988-B1002.
S.R. Coleman and J. Mandula, *Phys. Rev* **159** (1967) 1251-1256.
C. Aragone S. Deser, *Phys. Lett.* **B86** (1979) 161.
X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger and P. Sundell, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **84** (2012) 987, arXiv:1007.0435.
E. Witten and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Lett. B* **96**, 59(1980)
Loyal Durand III, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 434(1962)
K. Case and S. Gasiorowicz, *Phys. Rev.* **125**, 1055(1962)
C. Aragone and S. Deser, *Nuovo Cim. B*, **57**, 33(1980).
A. K. H. Bengtsson and I. Bengtsson, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **3** 927 (1986).

[6] R. R. Metsaev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 759** (2006) 147, arXiv:hep-th/0512342.
R. R. Metsaev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B859** (2012) 13, arXiv:hep-th/0712.3526.
R. R. Metsaev, *Phys. Lett.* **B720** (2013) 237-243
R. R. Metsaev, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 859** (2012), 13, arXiv:hep-th/0712.3526 .
R. R. Metsaev and E. S. Fradkin, *Phys. Rev.* **D 52** (1995) 4660.

[7] A. K. H. Bengtsson, arXiv: 1205.6117
A. K. H. Bengtsson, *J. Math. Phys.* **48** (2007) 072302
A. K. H. Bengtsson, *JHEP* **1409**, 105 (2014)

[8] Y. S. Akshay and S. Ananth, *J. Phys.* **A47**, 045401 (2014).
Y. S. Akshay and S. Ananth, *Nucl. Phys.* **B887**, 168 (2014)

[9] S. Ananth, *JHEP* **1211** (2012).

[10] L. Dixon, arXiv:9601359.
H. Elvang and Y. T. Huang arXiv:1308.1697.

[11] S. Ananth and S. Theisen, *Phys. Lett.* **B 652** (2007) 128.

[12] H. Kawai, D.C. Lewellen and S.H.H. Tye, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 269** (1986) 001.

[13] Y. S. Akshay, S. Ananth and M. Mali, *Nucl. Phys.* **B884**, 66 (2014), arXiv:1401.5933.
S. Ananth and M. Mali, *Phys. Lett. B* **745**, 48 (2015) , arXiv:1504.02839.

[14] E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett. B* **76**, 409(1978).

[15] S. Ananth, L. Brink and P. Ramond, *JHEP* **0407**, 082(2004), arXiv:hep-th/0405150.
S. Ananth, L. Brink and P. Ramond, *JHEP* **0505** 003 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0501079.

[16] S. Mandelstam, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 213** (1983) 149.

[17] Y.S. Akshay and Sudarshan Ananth, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** 085029, (2015).

[18] L. Brink, O. Lindgren and B. E. W. Nilsson, *Nucl. Phys.* **B212**, 401 (1983).
L. Brink, O. Lindgren and B. E.W. Nilsson, *Phys. Lett. B* **123**, 323(1983).

[19] S. Ananth, L. Brink, S. S. Kim and P. Ramond, *Nucl. Phys. B* **722**, 166 (2005)

[20] E. Cremmer and B. Julia, *Nucl. Phys. B* **159** 141 (1979).
E. Cremmer, B. Julia and J. Scherk, *Phys. Lett. B* **76**, 409 (1978).
L. Brink and P. S. Howe, *Phys. Lett. B* **88**, 268 (1979).
B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Phys. Lett. B* **155**, 47 (1985).
B. de Wit and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys. B* **274**, 363 (1986).
H. Nicolai, *Phys. Lett. B* **187**, 316 (1987).

[21] S. Ananth, L. Brink, R. Heise and H. G. Svendsen, *Nucl. Phys. B* **753**, 195 (2006).
S. Ananth, L. Brink and M. Mali, *JHEP* **1508**153, (2015), arXiv:1507.01068.

[22] L. Brink, S. Kim and P. Ramond, *JHEP* **0806** 034, (2008).

[23] S. Ananth, L. Brink, S. Majumdar, arXiv:1601.02836.

[24] P. C. West, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, 4443 (2001).
T. Damour, M. Henneaux and H. Nicolai, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 221601 (2002).
T. Damour, A. Kleinschmidt and H. Nicolai, *Phys. Lett. B* **634** 319 (2006).

[25] G. Bossard and H. Nicolai, *JHEP* **1108**, 074 (2011).

[26] P. Ramond - Unpublished